

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8414 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-303 Year-2025 Thana- SOHSARAI District- Nalanda

Chandan Kumar Yadav, S/O Suresh Prasad @ Suresh Yadav R/O Mohalla
House No. 1, Badi Pahari, Mansur Nagar, P.S.- Sohsarai, Bihar Sharif, Dist.-
Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XX, W/O -YY, R/O Mohalla- Badi Pahari, Mansur Nagar, P.S.- Sohsarai,
Bihar Sharif, Dist.- Nalanda

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-02-2026

Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sohsarai P.S. Case No. 303 of 2025 dated 07.10.2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 115(2), 126(2), 304(2), 74 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and sections 8 and 12 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant has alleged that on 07.10.2025 at about 11:30 A.M., when her daughter (victim) was going to school, Chandan Kumar Yadav (petitioner), along with 3–4 other persons, closed the victim's mouth and snatched her gold earrings. It is further alleged that the accused persons dragged the victim along the road and also



tore her clothes and upon raising an alarm by the victim, all the accused persons fled away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's family and the informant's family are next door neighbours and in between them, there are several cases and in the present matter, they have entered into a compromise and good relation has been restored in between them and the compromise petition has also been filed before the trial court. It is lastly submitted that the informant has fabricated a false story and the alleged offences of the POCSO Act are not applicable in the present matter.

5. Learned counsel for the informant submits that there is serious allegation against the petitioner and he has no information about any restoration of cordial relation in between both the parties.

6. Learned APP for the State submits that there is serious allegation against the petitioner and the main alleged offences are not compoundable.

7. Considering the seriousness of the allegations appearing against the petitioner, which relate to outraging the modesty of a minor girl and further, the case is under investigation and the petitioner has one criminal antecedent



pertaining to Sohsarai P.S. Case No. 189/2024, earlier lodged by the victim’s family against the petitioner, in my opinion, it is not a fit case for anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer stands rejected.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

