IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
FIRST APPEAL No.463 of 1983

CNR No. BRHC010013121983

==========

1. Shri Ram Dubey @ Bishun Dubey, Son of Late Bhrigunath Dubey.
2. Haminder Dubey, Minor Son of Late Bhrigunath Dubey under the Guardianship of mother Kalami, who is his natural guardian.
3. Rajesh Dubey, Minor Son of Late Bhrigunath Dubey under the Guardianship of mother Kalami, who is his natural guardian.
4. Smt. Sradha Devi, Daughter of Late Bhrigunath Dubey and Wife of Janak Deo Mishra.
5. Pratima Kumari, minor daughter of Late Bhrigunath Dubey under the guardianship of mother kalami, who is her natural guardian.
6. Most. Kalami, Wife of Late Bhrigunath Dubey.
7. Munga Kumari @ Munga Devi, wife of Sri Raghunath Dubey.

All resident of Village-Baleshwara, Police Station-Mirganj, District- Gopalganj

... ..Defendant-1st, Set /. Appellant/s

Versus

1. Ragho Dubey, Son of Late Ramdeo Dubey, Resident of Village- Baleshara, P.O. & P.S. Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.

…… Plaintiff 1st Set

2. Ram Narain Dubey, Son of Late Ram Naresh Dubey.
3. Ramdhari Dubey, Son of Sri Gakhula Dubey.
4. Deodhari Dubey, Son of Sri Gakhula Dubey.
5. Sakoor Mian, Son of father's not named, Resident of Village- Narayanpur, Police Station-Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.
6. Dharamdeo Dubey, Son of Vindhyachal Dubey.
7. Ram Ishwar Dubey, Son of Sri Sukhdeo Dubey,

Respondent nos. 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7 are residents of Village-Baleshara, P.O. Baleshara, P.S. Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.

... ... Defendant/s 2nd Set / Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Appellant/s : None
For the Respondent/s : None

WEB COPY

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND MALVIYA

ORAL ORDER

17 28-10-2026 Nobody appears on behalf of both the parties.

2. From two consecutive dates i.e., on 23.06.2026 and 24.09.2026, nobody appears on behalf of learned counsel for the appellants.

3. On perusal of the records, it appears that this First Appeal is of the year 1983 and the appellants are absented from two consecutive dates and even today on repeated calls, nobody appears on behalf of the appellants to press this application despite direct notice has been given to both of the parties.

4. In view of the above circumstances, it appears that the appellants have lost their interest to contest the present First Appeal No. 463 of 1983, so in this circumstances, this First Appeal is dismissed for default under Order XLI Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Anand Kr.

U			

WEB COPY