

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1734 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2025 Thana- LAKHAURA District- East Champaran

Ramsogarth Rai @ Ramsogarath Rai Son of Late Mishrilal Rai Resident of  
Village - Dostiya, P.S. - Lakhaura, Distt. - East Champaran..

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                               |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate  |
|                          | : | Mr. Hemant Ray, Advocate      |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, APP |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      19-01-2026                      Heard Mr. Abhishek Kumar, learned counsel for  
the petitioner as well as Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since  
19.11.2025 in connection with Lakhaura P.S. Case No. 92 of  
2025, F.I.R. dated 10.04.2025 for the offences punishable under  
Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 76, 303(2), 352, 351(2)  
3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. The allegation is of abusing and assaulting the  
informant and her husband, outraging her modesty and taking  
away mangalsutra, cash and clothes from her house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case. It appears from the FIR that due to land dispute the present occurrence has taken place and although there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted husband of the informant by means of dabia and he received two injuries but it appears from the injury report of the injured person that out of two injuries, one is grievous in nature and other is simple in nature. He further submits that the petitioner had no intention to hurt the informant and her family member and due to spur of moment, the present occurrence has taken place and the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet and the petitioner is in custody since 19.11.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Motihari, East Champaran in connection with Lakhaura P.S. Case No. 92 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court



and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Suruchi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

