

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.19 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18752 of 2024

=====

Vipul Kumar Son of Mr. Shailendra Singh, resident of Village- Dhibra, P.S.
Parsa Bazar, District- Patna- 801505, (Bihar).

... .. Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. Director General of Police (DGP), Police Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. Superintendent of Police (Patna Town), Police Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. Deputy Superintendent of Police, Parsa Bazar Thana, Parsa, Patna.
5. Parsa Thana Incharge, Parsa, Patna.
6. Mahila Thana Incharge, Gardanibagh, Patna- 800001.
7. Smt. Priyanka Sinha, D/o Shri Vishwanand Singh, Flat No. A-204, Vrindavan Apartment, Phase No.- 2, Block- A, At Malahi Pakri, Kankarbagh, P.S.- Kankarbagh, District- Patna- 800020.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant : Mr.Raj Kishore Srivastava, Advocate
For the Respondents :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

5 06-04-2026 The Letters Patent Appeal has been filed by the

appellant-Vipul Kumar challenging the order dated 10.12.2024

passed by the learned Single Judge in C.W.J.C No. 18752 of

2024.

2. From the impugned order dated 10.12.2024, it

appears that when the matter was taken up, after advancing



some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner sought permission of the Court not to press the writ petition, with liberty to avail the alternative remedy available under law, including approaching the Civil Court of competent jurisdiction.

3. The learned Single Judge disposed of the writ petition, granting such liberty to the petitioner.

4. If the petitioner chose not to press the writ petition and sought for liberty to avail the alternative remedy including to approach the competent Civil Court, it is not conceivable as to how an L.P.A. is maintainable against such an order.

5. Since, we do not find any perversity in the impugned order, we are not inclined to entertain the L.P.A.

6. Accordingly, the Letters Patent Appeal stands dismissed.

(Sangam Kumar Sahoo, CJ)

(Harish Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

