

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1560 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-140 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRAHI District- Sheohar

=====

Dharmendra Kumar @ Dharmendra Ray @ Raghuvendra Kumar S/o Mohan  
Ray R/o village - Nayagao Mahuawa, P.S - Piprahi, District - Sheohar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      18-03-2026                      Heard Mr. Devendra Kumar, learned counsel for the  
petitioner and Mr. Raj Kishor Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since  
28.11.2025 in connection with Piprahi P.S. Case No. 140 of  
2025, F.I.R. dated 18.07.2025 for the offences punishable under  
Sections 64, 89, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, petitioner has  
committed rape upon the daughter of the informant and when  
this informant went to the house of the said co-accused then this  
petitioner along with other accused persons abused him It is  
further alleged that the accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. As per allegation in the FIR, petitioner has established physical relationship with the victim. He further submits that the medical examination of the victim was conducted and it was found that the victim was major at the time of occurrence which suggests that with the consent of the victim, present occurrence has taken place. It is further alleged that accused persons, later on, took the victim and got her pregnancy aborted. The main allegation against the petitioner is that he established physical relationship with the victim and the similarly situated co-accused persons, namely, Punit Ray @ Punit Kumar Ray @ Punit Kumar Yadav and Amrendra Ray @ Amrendra have been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by a coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 19.11.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 78718 of 2025, co-accused person, namely, Madhurendra Ray has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 04.11.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 78341 of 2025. He further submits that the Police, after investigation, submitted the charge-sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in judicial custody since 28.11.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed



the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and also the fact that the petitioner has clean antecedent, similarly situated co-accused persons have been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by a coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court and another co-accused person has been granted regular bail by this Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sheohar, in connection with Piprahi P.S. Case No. 140 of 2025, with the following conditions:

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at



any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Ranjeet/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

