

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2166 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-403 Year-2025 Thana- JOGAPATTI District- West Champaran

Vishwanath Yadav Son of Late Daroga Yadav Village- Chaurahi Bariyarpur
ward no 04, Police station- Yogapatti, District -West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Brij Kishor Mishra, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Yogapatti P.S. Case No. 403 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 238(a), 61(2)(a) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the informant's sister was married to one Jitendra Yadav fifteen years back. Due to their inability to have children, Jitendra Yadav married another woman. The petitioner along with all the other accused named in the FIR, allegedly assaulted the informant's sister and threatened to kill her. In this connection, on 26.09.2025 at about 1:00 P.M., the informant's sister was allegedly murdered and her body disappeared. When the informant received information about these events, he along



with other relatives, went to her sister's in-laws' house. They found no one there and searched extensively for her sister, but her body was not found.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner had no any concerned with the matrimonial life of the deceased. The petitioner has been living separately since long from the husband of the deceased. The husband of the deceased, namely, Jitendra Yadav is already in jail. He further submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the nature and gravity of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kiran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

