

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3383 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-676 Year-2025 Thana- RAJGIR District- Nalanda

Satya Kure @ Soni D/o- Noha Kure @ Nohar Kurre R/v- Bilaspur Ps-
Bilashpur Dist- Bilashpur, Chhattisgarh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Raman Kumar S/o- Late Chhathu Lal Karn R/v- Rikhauli Ps- Dumra Dist-
Sitamarhi, at present Police Inspector cum Officer in Charge Rajgir Ps-
Rajgir, Dist- Nalanda

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bipin Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Nirmala Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-01-2026 Heard Mr.Bipin Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mrs.Nirmala Kumari, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
04.12.2025 in connection with Rajgir P.S. Case No. 676 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 03.12.2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 143(2), 145,74,111(4),3(5) of BNS,
2023, Section 8 of POCSO Act, Sections 75/79 of J.J. Act and
Section 16,17 and 18 of the Banded Labour System Act, 1976.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he called the
Girls for dancing in Orchestra who were arrested from the place
of occurrence.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner



submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. The allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. Petitioner has been made accused in the present case merely on the ground that he is part and parcel of the said Orchestra Group, apart from the aforesaid, there is no specific allegation against the petitioner in the FIR, only allegation against the petitioner is that he called the Girls for dancing in Orchestra who were arrested from the place of occurrence and the petitioner is in custody since 04.12.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact, petitioner has clean antecedent and there is no specific allegation against the petitioner in the FIR, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District Judge-VII-cum- Special Judge, POCSO, Bihar Sharif in connection with Rajgir P.S. Case No. 676 of 2025, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court



and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

