

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1250 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-407 Year-2024 Thana- KANTI District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Raja Kumar @ Vijay Kumar S/o Awadhesh Prasad R/o Village - Panapur
Bangla Tola, P.S - Minapur, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of regular bail in connection with Kanti P.S.
Case No.407 of 2024 registered for the offence under sections
309(6)/3/5 of the B.N.S., 2023 and under section 27 of the Arms
Act.

3. Earlier, the bail of the petitioner was rejected
by this Court vide order dated 19.02.2025 passed in Criminal
Miscellaneous No.8554 of 2025. The aforesaid order dated
19.02.2025 reads as under:-

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in
connection with Kanti P.S. Case No. 407 of
2024 registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 309(6)/3(5) of B.N.S. and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.*



3. Unknown criminals shot at the victim for committing loot. He died. The name of the petitioner has come in the self-inculpatory statement of the co-accused Chandan Kumar. The looted motorcycle has been recovered from the cow-shed of the petitioner. The petitioner is in jail since 07.10.2024.

4. In view of the above, this application is dismissed.

5. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Muzaffarpur is directed to produce the witnesses to produce the witness in the trial so that the trial may not be delayed.

6. Let a copy of the order be communicated to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Muzaffarpur through FAX/e-mail forthwith for compliance of the order.”

4. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in the trial the charge has been framed.

5. Considering the gravity of offence and also the fact that the charge has been framed in the trial, I am not inclined to review my earlier order dated 19.02.2025. Accordingly, this bail application is dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

