

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4366 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2025 Thana- BHELDI District- Saran

=====

Muskan Ansari @ Shahanawaj Husain @ Muskan @ Sahanwaj Husain S/O
Najir @ Najir Hussain R/O Village- Rai Sirsa, Police Station-Bheldi, District-
Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 21-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 303(2), 126(2), 109 and 3(5)
of the BNS read with Section 27 of the Arms Act
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and is in custody since
11.11.2025. It is next submitted that informant alleges that while
he was returning from a birthday party on 17.04.2025, at about
01:30 a.m., he was intercepted by 4-5 unknown criminals and
they fired twice and one bullet hit his thigh and thereafter
accused persons snatched his chain and cash of Rs.25,000/-,
further the informant identified an accused namely Muskan



Ansari (petitioner) and claims that he can identify the other accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that it does not appear probable that informant at 01:30 a.m. in the night while returning from a birthday party would have been carrying Rs.25,000/-. It is also submitted that since the petitioner and the informant were known to each other, as such, the petitioner would not have participated in the occurrence fearing that he will be identified. It is further submitted that though in the FIR, it is alleged that unknown accused fired causing firearm injury to the informant, but then petitioner is not alleged to have fired. It is further submitted that charges have been framed and if privilege of bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the regular bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bheldi P.S. Case No. 102 of 2025.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father namely Najir @ Najir Hussain.

8. It is made clear that if the learned Trial Court comes to a conclusion that petitioner, after his release, is trying to delay the trial in any manner, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

