

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1834 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-130 Year-2023 Thana- MOTIHARI MUFASIL District- East
Champaran

=====

Rambha Devi S/o Ranjay Manjhi Resident of Village- Basman, P.S.- Muffasil,
Dist.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Adarsh Ranjan, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Suman Kumari Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304B and
34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is a case of dowry death. As per prosecution case,
informant alleged that marriage of his daughter was solemnized
with co-accused Punnu Singh in the year 2022 as per Hindu
rites and rituals and thereafter, all the F.I.R. named accused
persons, including this petitioner, committed torture and
harassment with the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of
dowry and subsequently, all the accused persons committed
murder of the victim.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because she happens to be married sister-in-law of the deceased. Petitioner is separate in mess and property and has got no concern with the affairs of the deceased and her husband. Informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. Allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against this petitioner. As a matter of fact, the deceased committed suicide. Thrust of accusation is against husband of deceased who is already in custody since 21.02.2026. Petitioner is a lady and claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named



petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Motihari, East Champaran in connection with Muffasil Motihari P.S. Case No. 130 of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

