

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1576 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-421 Year-2025 Thana- HARNAUT District- Nalanda

Raj Khurana S/O Bhim Singh R/O Village- Basti, P.S- Harnaut, Distt.-
Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar @ S.K., Adv.
Ms. Monika Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026 Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and the
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Harnaut P.S. Case No. 421 of 2025, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 115(2),
303(2), 318(4) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Allegedly, on pretext of returning Rs. 42,000/-,
which was taken by the co-accused Piyush Kumar, the
informant was called upon on telephone. When the informant
rushed at the place, 3-4 persons were already present and they
apprehended the informant and started abusing and assaulting.



The accused persons also snatched the mobile and golden locket and also got his signature on a stamp paper, besides procured the photo-copy of the aadhaar card and owner book of the vehicle.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner referring to the FIR contended that the alleged occurrence took place in the afternoon of 05.09.2025, but surprisingly the present FIR came to be instituted on 09.09.2025, after delay of four days, without there being any explanation for the same. Moreover, from perusal of the FIR, it appears that on account of some monetary dispute, the present FIR came to be instituted with a view to put pressure and wreck vengeance. The petitioner and the informant are known to each other. There is no material which suggest that the informant has sustained any injury. It is lastly contended that the petitioner bears fair antecedent and he undertakes that he will fully co-operate in the proceeding of the Court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submits that there is a specific accusation against the petitioner of causing assault and forcibly got his signature on a stamp paper, besides snatching of valuables.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of



the delay in institution of the FIR, coupled with the materials available on record, which suggest the dispute somewhat monetary in nature, besides the fair antecedent of the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M., Biharsharif (Nalanda) in connection with Harnaut P.S. Case No. 421 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

