

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6890 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-369 Year-2025 Thana- AMAUR District- Purnia

1. Munni Devi, Wife of Gultan Yadav, Resident of Village - Rahika Tola Baluganj, Police Station - Amour, District - Purnea.
2. Gultan Yadav, Son of Late Chaitu Yadav, Resident of Village - Rahika Tola Baluganj, Police Station - Amour, District - Purnea.
3. Dharmendra Yadav, Son of Gultan Yadav, Resident of Village - Rahika Tola Baluganj, Police Station - Amour, District - Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rashid Izhar, Advocate Mr. Vishal Kumar Sharma, Advocate Mr. Syed Mohammad Ibrahim, Advocate Mr. Adil Abbas, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection with Amour P.S. Case No. 369 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80 and 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the daughter of the informant, namely, Sobha Devi (deceased) was married to one Anil Yadav one and half years ago. It is alleged that she was being subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand. On 22.08.2025, the mother-in-law of the deceased informed the informant that the deceased is ill. When the informant reached the matrimonial house of the deceased she



found that the deceased was lying dead. It is further alleged that all the in-laws have killed her.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners are mother-in-law, father-in-law and brother-in-law of the deceased and they have been falsely implicated in this case. The nature of allegation is general and omnibus. The main thrust of allegation is against husband and he is already in custody. Petitioners are having no criminal antecedent and they are languishing in judicial custody since 23.08.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Purnea in connection with Amour P.S. Case No. 369 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

