

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4066 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-404 Year-2024 Thana- BAKHARI District- Begusarai

1. Ramu Sahni @ Ram Sahni S/o- Gunni Sahni. R/o Village Imadpur, P.o- Chakhamid, P.s-Bakhri, Distt- Begusarai, State- Bihar, Pin-848201.
2. Raju Kumar @ Raghu Sahni @ Raju S/o- Shankar Sahni R/o Village Imadpur, P.o- Chakhamid, P.s-Bakhri, Distt- Begusarai, State- Bihar, Pin-848201.
3. Kaushalaya Devi W/o- Shankar Sahni R/o Village Imadpur, P.o- Chakhamid, P.s-Bakhri, Distt- Begusarai, State- Bihar, Pin-848201.
4. Jipsi Devi W/o- Ramu Sahni R/o Village Imadpur, P.o- Chakhamid, P.s-Bakhri, Distt- Begusarai, State- Bihar, Pin-848201.
5. Ashok Sahni S/o- Lalo Sahni R/o Village Imadpur, P.o- Chakhamid, P.s-Bakhri, Distt- Begusarai, State- Bihar, Pin-848201.
6. Kebali Sahni @ Kebali Kumar S/o-Sahdeo Sahni R/o Village Imadpur, P.o- Chakhamid, P.s-Bakhri, Distt- Begusarai, State- Bihar, Pin-848201.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shantanu Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-02-2026 Heard Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioners and Mr. Shantanu Kumar, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in
connection with Bakhri P.S. Case No. 404 of 2024, F.I.R. dated
14.10.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 352, 351(2), 303(2), 109 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, all these petitioners



along with other accused persons assaulted the informant over a land dispute.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner nos. 2 to 6 have clean antecedent and petitioner no. 1 carries two criminal antecedents other than the present one and he is on bail in both the cases and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that it appears from the F.I.R that due admitted land dispute between the parties the present occurrence took place. Although the petitioners are named in the F.I.R but there is no specific allegation against these petitioners rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including these petitioners. Apart from that one Title Suit No. 3547/691 of 2013 is pending with respect to the present land in question between the parties.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that there is no specific allegation against these petitioners, apart from that one title suit is pending between the parties with respect to land in question and petitioner nos. 2 to 6 have clean antecedent, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their



arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Begusarai in connection with Bakhri P.S. Case No. 404 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order



shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of
verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

