

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.753 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-521 Year-2024 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-
Muzaffarpur

Ranjeet Kumar @ Ranjit Kumar @ Ranjit Mahto S/o- Rajkishore Mahto @
Kishore Mahto Village- Ahiyapur PS-Sahebganj District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Yugal Kishore, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Madhuri Lata, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Sadar
(Muzaffarpur) P.S. Case No. 521 of 2024 registered for the
offence under Sections 103(1), 238 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has
been rejected vide order dated 04.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 27891 of 2025, which reads as under:

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and
learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in
connection with Sadar (Muzaffarpur) P.S. Case
No. 521 of 2024 registered for the offence under
Sections 103(1), 238 and 3(5) of the BNS.*

3. As per the prosecution case,



unknown criminals are said to have killed the deceased.

4. The petitioner is in custody since 9.9.2024.

5. The informant had suspected the hand of the petitioner and others in the crime as they were threatening the informant because of her son Jai Prakash Kumar.

6. In the trial, out of 5 charge-sheeted witnesses, four witnesses have already been examined.

7. Considering the gravity of the offence and also the fact that the trial is on the verge of completion, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits all the prosecution witnesses have been examined.

5. Considering the fact that all the witnesses have been examined in the trial i.e., the trial has progressed and further no fresh grounds for grant of bail is made out, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

