

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1186 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-545 Year-2025 Thana- RAHUI District- Nalanda

1. Chandra Kant Ravidas @ Chandra Kant Das @ Chandra Kant Kumar S/O Shiv Kumar Das @ Shiv Kumar Ravidas Resident of Amba, P.S.- Rahui (Bhagan Bigha), District- Nalanda
2. Rajni Kant Ravidas @ Rajni Kant Das S/O Shiv Kumar Das @ Shiv Kumar Ravidas Resident of Amba, P.S.- Rahui (Bhagan Bigha), District- Nalanda
3. Shiv Kumar Ravidas @ Shiv Kumar Das S/O Late Mahadeo Ravidas Resident of Amba, P.S.- Rahui (Bhagan Bigha), District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Divakar, Adv.  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      04-02-2026                      Heard Rajesh Kumar Divakar, learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Rahui P.S. Case No. 545 of 2025 for the offence registered under sections 126(2), 115(2), 190, 191(2), 109(1), 352, 302(2), 76 of BNS, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that while he was at home with his family members, the accused persons entered with rods/deadly weapons and after abuse and on protest, assaulted causing injury on the head. On raising alarm, when Pramod Das, Manoj Das and others came, they



were also assaulted. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that omnibus allegation of assault has been made against the accused persons and admittedly there is dispute between the parties and in that background, the FIR has been exaggerated.

5. Learned APP on the other hand submits that not only the two petitioners have criminal antecedent, the injury is on the head and it has not been incorporated in the learned Sessions Judge order that the same is simple in nature.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties as also the fact that the petitioners have criminal antecedent, in that background, it would be appropriate that they seek bail.

7. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Vijay Singh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

