

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3040 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4 Year-2019 Thana- JURAWANPUR District- Vaishali

Harendra Rai, aged about 45 years (M), S/o Balli Rai, resident of village-
Raghopur Purvi, PS- Jurawanpur, Dist- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Shivjee Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Sudama Singh, Advocate
	Mr. Surendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
	Mr. Shashikant, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

3 23-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel
for the informant, who has appeared *suo motu*.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Sessions Trial No.357 of 2025 arising out of Jurawanpur PS
Case No.04 of 2019 dated 13.01.2019, instituted for the offence
punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307/34 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act in which Section
302 of the Indian Penal Code was added later on.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that he and
co-accused Sanjiv Singh @ Bauwa fired upon the father of the
informant leading to his death.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not involved in the alleged crime. The victim himself was associated with a criminal gang and he was killed by his associates but the petitioner has been implicated in this case due to village politics. Further submission is that charge has been framed on 19.08.2025.

5. Learned APP as well as learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer for bail. Learned counsel for the informant submits that prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail was rejected by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 07.11.2019 passed in Cr. Misc. No.70317 of 2019 and since then the petitioner was absconding. Due to absence of the petitioner, his case was separated vide Sessions Trial No.357 of 2025, from the accused, who were facing trial.

6. Considering the fact that the petitioner evaded the process of law for about six years, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail for the present.

7. Accordingly, prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail is rejected at this stage.

8. The learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same as early as possible, preferably, within a period of six months from the date of



receipt/production of a copy of this order even by fixing the case on day to day basis if necessary. Since the informant has appeared through his counsel in this application *suo motu*, it is expected that the informant will co-operate with the trial Court in order to conclude the trial within the stipulated time.

9. The application stands dismissed with the aforesaid direction/observation.

(Khatim Reza, J)

J. Alam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

