

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2284 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-283 Year-2025 Thana- ARA MUFFSIL District- Bhojpur

Harendra Singh S/o Hardwar Singh @ Haridwar Singh R/o Village - Jhausa @
Ghauan, P.O - Belwaniya, P.S - Bihiya, District - Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Advocate Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate Mr. Mayank Raj, Advocate Mr. Adarsh Parashar, Advocate Mr. Ravi Ranjan Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mrs. Dr. Indivar Kumari, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2026 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner,

learned APP for the State, learned counsel for the informant and

perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Ara
Muffasil P.S. Case No. 283 of 2025, instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 109, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that while the
informant was coming back to his home, in the meantime, the
petitioner along with other co-accused persons stopped him and
made indiscriminate firing due to which he sustained gun shot
injury and fell down. Thereafter, the accused persons fled away
from the place of occurrence.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that the informant and petitioner are co-villagers and due to admitted land dispute, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. It is next submitted that no specific allegation of firing has been made against the petitioner. There is no any witness to the alleged occurrence. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been arrested from his house and not from the place of occurrence. The petitioner is in custody since 15.10.2025 and has got two criminal antecedents in which he is on bail.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing



bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Ara Muffasil P.S. Case No. 283 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial and shall not remain absent on two consecutive dates without sufficient cause.

(III) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence or the witnesses during the trial.

(IV) Petitioner shall not commit offence of similar nature in future.

(V) The petitioner shall not leave the territorial jurisdiction of the learned Court below without taking prior permission of the Court concerned.

If any of the above conditions are violated, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

