

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5020 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2025 Thana- MASHRAK District- Saran

Abhimanyu Kumar Singh @ Abhimanyu Singh Son of Hridyashankar singh
R/o Village - Bansohi, P.S. - Mashrakh, Dist. - Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dewendra Narayan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 09-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Mashrakh P.S. Case No. 234 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80(1) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the daughter of the informant, namely, Sonali Kumari (deceased), was married to the petitioner one year ago. It is further alleged that the in-laws of the deceased started subjecting her to cruelty on account of the non-fulfillment of the dowry demand of a bike. It is further alleged that the deceased has disclosed that she was being assaulted. On 26.05.2025, the deceased informed her parents that she was being assaulted. When the informant



reached there with his neighbors, she found that his daughter was killed and she was admitted to a private hospital to show bonafides.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that in this case, a postmortem report of the deceased was done and from perusal of the postmortem report, it will transpire that the doctor conducting *autopsy* of the deceased has not found any antemortem injury and the cause of death could not be ascertained. Viscera was preserved for chemical analysis. He also submits that the deceased had consumed poison, and after that, she was rushed to the hospital, and from there, she was referred to a higher center. He also submits that while she was being rushed to the higher center, she died. He further submits that *Annexure-P/3* is the prescription of B.K. Nursing Home & Emergency Centre and the postmortem report goes to show that the deceased has no antemortem injury or was not being assaulted. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 11.08.2025.



5. The application for bail is vehemently opposed by learned counsel for the informant and the learned APP for the State. Learned counsel for the informant stated that the deceased was being assaulted by the in-laws and mainly by this petitioner and for showing the bonafides they have brought to the B.K. Nursing Home & Emergency Centre.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VII, Saran at Chapra in connection with Mashrakh P.S. Case No. 234 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

