

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.75 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16440 of 2024

=====

Manish Kumar Tiwary, son of Devasharan Tiwari, resident of Village- Haluar
Tiwari Tola, P.S.- Sidhwalia, Distt.- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Vinay Kumar S/O Not Known, The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. Shri Arvind Kumar Chaudhary s/o Not Known The Principal Secretary,
Home Department, Government of Bihar, Patna, Bihar
4. Prashant Kumar C.H. S/O Not Known The District Magistrate cum
chairman, District Selection Committee, Gopalganj, Bihar.
5. Awadhesh Dixit S/o Not Known The Superintendent of Police, District-
Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nishant Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Standing Counsel 12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 19-06-2026 Heard Mr. Nishant Kumar Sinha, learned Advocate

for the petitioner, Mr. Anuj Kumar, learned Advocate for the

State.

2. The present application has been filed for initiation
of a contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for their
willful and deliberate disobedience of the order of this Court
dated 28.10.2024 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 16440 of 2024.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that
despite the order having been passed by this Court to consider
the representation of the petitioner preferably within a period of
six weeks in the light of the judgment passed by this Court in



C.W.J.C. No. 159 of 2018 and other analogous cases, the same has not been considered in accordance with law by the concerned District Magistrate, Gopalganj.

4. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State taking this Court through the averments made in the show-cause affidavit and the reasoned order dated 10.02.2025, as contained in Memo no. 182, submitted that the case of the petitioner was duly considered and on being found him ineligible, the claim for inclusion of his name in the final merit list has been rejected.

5. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the show cause affidavit as well as the order passed by the District Magistrate, Gopalganj, this Court does not find any reason or occasion to continue with the present proceeding, accordingly, the same stands closed.

6. However, if the petitioner is any how aggrieved with the reasoned order passed by the District Magistrate, Gopalganj, he has remedy available under the law.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

