

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1403 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-530 Year-2025 Thana- BEUR District- Patna

Vikash Kumar @ Santy @ Santy Vikash Son of Vinay Prasad R/V-
Saristabad, P.S- Gardanibag, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharendra Prasad Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2026 Heard Mr. Dharendra Prasad Sinha, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, learned APP for
the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Beur P.S. Case No. 530 of 2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 8(c), 21(b), 22 of the N.D.P.S. Act
and Section 317(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that from
the possession of this petitioner, altogether 9 gram of *smack*
like substance and 25 pieces of Buprenorphine injection
containing 2 ml each were recovered.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner. The witnesses of the seizure list are police personnel. Police has not complied with Section 105 of the BNSS while making the seizure. He also submits that the alleged recovery of contraband is though more than small quantity but is much less than commercial quantity. He further submits that similarly situated co-accused persons namely, Golu Kumar and Vikash Kumar have already been granted bail by this court vide order dated 17.12.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 86392 of 2025. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 20.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



Sessions Judge/Special Judge (NDPS), Patna in connection
with Beur P.S. Case No. 530 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

