

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2363 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-146 Year-2025 Thana- KHANPURA District- Samastipur

Rakesh Kumar @ Rakesh Kumar Ray @ Raka Kumar S/o Ram Pravesh Ray
R/V Dhaidh Bishanpur, P.S.- Khanpur, Dist.- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Chandra Bhushan Prasad, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(1), 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 74, 352 and 351(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on account of dispute relating to land, petitioner assaulted him by an iron rod causing injury on head and thereafter Rajan Kumar assaulted Umesh Rai by danda causing injury on his hand, thereafter, Samudri Devi and Pandav Kumar assaulted Sujita Kumari by bamboo stick causing injury on her head while Ram Pravesh Rai and Pandav Kumar assaulted Prince Kumar by rod on his leg and above the knee and thereafter villagers gathered and the injured were taken to the hospital.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is in custody since 19.11.2025. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to land, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is fairly submitted that no doubt, petitioner is alleged to have assaulted the informant by rod causing injury on head and the injury has been opined to be grievous but then the blow is not alleged to be repeated and petitioner is not a criminal. It is next submitted that if the privilege of regular bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending in connection with Khanpur P.S. Case No. 146 of 2025.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

