

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3472 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2025 Thana- PURUSHOTTAMPUR District- West
Champaran

=====

Dablu Kumar @ Dablu Mahto Son of Dinanath Mahto Resident of Madhuri,
P.S.- Purushottampur, District - West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bimlesh Kumar Pandey
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anita Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 28-01-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Purushottampur P.S. Case No. 110 of 2025, F.I.R dated 03.09.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(1), 191(2), 109(1), 115(2), 303(2), 352, 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, informant was going to make payment to a rod and cement vendor near Teen Bati, the accused persons intercepted him. They were armed and abused the informant and his father. *Pappu Mahto* allegedly placed a country-made pistol on the informant's temple and took the motorcycle key. On his instruction, *Mantu Mahto* broke the



vehicle and *Rajdeep Mahto* taken Rs. 1,50,000/-. When the informant protested, *Bidhayak Mahto* allegedly assaulted him on the neck with an iron rod with intent to kill. When the informant's father intervened, *Dablu Mahto* allegedly attacked him with a sharp iron *tangi*, cutting his right ear. The informant's elder brother arrived and was also assaulted along with the informant and his father.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner and neighbours reside in the same village and for the reasons that the informant having vultures' eyes over the mother of co-accused *Pappu Mahto* is said to have misbehaved with her, the said act of the informant led to the incident in question in which there is case and counter case. During pendency, good sense prevailed between the parties and compromise is also said to have been arrived, which is annexed as Annexure-4 series to the anticipatory bail petition and the injury said to have been caused is simple in nature.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the injuries is simple in nature. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the



petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Purushottampur P.S. Case No. 110 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for



cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

abhishekk/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

