

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1823 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2001 Thana- SONO District- Jamui

Sukhdeo Mandal @ Sugdeo Mndal @ Sukhdev Mandal @ Sugdev Mandal
S/O Late Khurughdhari Mandal @ Murari Mandal R/O Village- Gadi, P.S-
Chakai (Chihra), Distt.- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rana Hason, Advocate

For the Opposite Party : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of regular bail in connection with Sono P.S.
Case No.48 of 2001 registered for the offence under sections
302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier, the bail application of the petitioner
was rejected by this Court vide orders dated 12.05.2025 passed
in Criminal Miscellaneous No.17752 of 2025. The aforesaid
order dated 12.05.2025 reads as under:-

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in
connection with Sono (Charkapathar) P.S.
Case No. 48 of 2001 registered for the offence
under Section 302/34 of the Indian Penal*



Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is named in the FIR as a suspect.

4. The petitioner has surrendered on 13.12.2024 in a case registered in the year 2001 and he appears to be an absconder.

5. Considering the fact that the petitioner is an absconder, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.”

4. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that out of nine witnesses, six witnesses have been examined in the trial.

5. Considering the gravity of offence and fact that the trial is proceeding and also the law laid down down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of *X vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.* reported as *2024 SCC OnLine SC 3539*, I am not inclined to review my earlier order. Accordingly, this bail application is dismissed.

6. The trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

