

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3607 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-207 Year-2025 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

Manu Kumar @ Sarwjeet Kumar @ Sarvjeet Kumar Son of Vijay Tanti @
Vijay Kumar Akela Resident of Village - Tanti Mirbigaha, P.S.- Warisaliganj,
District - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 28-01-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 207 of 2025, F.I.R dated 21.04.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 66(B), 66(D) of the I.T. Act.

3. According to prosecution case, on receiving secret information about cyber fraud activities in village Tanti Mirbigaha, the informant (a police officer) conducted a raid and found 18–20 persons assembled who attempted to flee on seeing the police. Four persons—Vinay Kumar, Itesh Kumar, Rohit



Kumar and Jaldhar Kumar—were arrested and several mobile phones, a diary, transaction papers, loan approval papers of “Dhani Finance and Service,” and other incriminating documents were seized. The arrested persons disclosed the names of other associates who escaped and stated that they impersonated bank managers and officials of Dhani Finance Company to cheat innocent people. Subsequently, Triveni Kumar and Jaipal Kumar were also arrested, from whom transaction papers and printed documents were recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of statement made by apprehended co-accused and no incriminating articles have been found on search being made by the Police in his absence and he is in no way connected with the seized articles. It has next been submitted that the co-accused person has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail vide order dated 19.11.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.76415 of 2025. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent but he is on bail in the said case.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



that the name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of statement made by apprehended co-accused and no incriminating articles have been found on search being made by the Police and the petitioner is in no way connected with the seized articles. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-IV, Nawada, in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 207 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail



cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

sharun/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

