

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7035 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-37 Year-2024 Thana- RAJGIR District- Nalanda

Manaini Devi @ Anita Devi W/o Chhotan Chaudhary R/o Village - Visthapit
Nagdeeh, P.S - Rajgir, District - Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rana Baljit Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Aslam Ansari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in connection
with Rajgir P.S. Case No. 37 of 2024 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 304(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that his daughter was married to Hira about two years
back, on 31.01.2024 he received an information that his
daughter died by jumping in the well, accordingly he reached
the place of occurrence and saw the dead body of his daughter
lying near the well, further her bangles were broken and cloths
were dried, thus, alleges based on suspicion that seven named



accused persons including the petitioner killed his daughter as he was not able to fulfill the demand of motorcycle and money.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner, being mother-in-law, has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence and the entire allegation hinges around suspicion. It is further submitted that informant in the FIR alleges that he received an information that his daughter died by jumping in the well and her dead body was also found near the well. It is further submitted that allegation of demand of dowry and torture is general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that whenever any dispute arises in between the husband and the wife and the occurrence of the nature as alleged takes place, the entire family members are implicated in a mechanical manner with general and omnibus allegations.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner and submits that though informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence, but then his daughter was married to Hira about two years back and within seven years of marriage, the occurrence took place and in law also the presumption is against the husband and his family members. It is also submitted that if the FIR is perused



carefully, it would manifest that the informant alleges that when he reached the place of occurrence, he saw the dead body of his daughter lying near the well with bangles broken and the cloths were dried, it is thus submitted that it does not appear probable that she jumped in the well and committed suicide. It is also submitted that investigation in the case is continuing and how the victim died requires to be investigated.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

