



2025:AHC-LKO:54134

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD  
LUCKNOW**

**WRIT - A No. - 10386 of 2025**

Sipek Kumar Biswas

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

U.O.I. Thru. Secy. Ministry Of Railways, Railway  
Board New Delhi And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

---

|                           |   |                                                                   |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Counsel for Petitioner(s) | : | Soma Pandey, Pratyush Mishra,<br>Shashank Dwivedi, Shashi Dwivedi |
| Counsel for Respondent(s) | : | A.S.G.I., Ram Raj                                                 |

---

**Court No. - 4**

**HON'BLE ABDUL MOIN, J.**

Heard Shri Shashank Dwivedi, learned counsel for the petitioner, Shri Varun Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri Abhishek Dwivedi holding brief of Shri Ajeet Kumar Dwivedi, learned counsel appearing for respondents No.3 to 5.

With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the contesting parties, the writ petition is finally being disposed of.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the transfer order dated 28.07.2025 passed by respondent No.4, a copy of which is Annexure-2 to the petition, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from District Amethi to District Varanasi.

Learned counsel for petitioner has raised various grounds to challenge the impugned transfer order, however the Court is of the view that the said grounds fall in the realm of the grounds to be considered by the respondents at the first instance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with regard to the relief sought in the writ petition, the petitioner may be permitted to submit a representation before the respondent no.3 which may be directed to be decided within a fixed time frame to which learned Standing Counsel has no objection.

In view of the above, it is provided that in case the petitioner submits a representation within a week from today before the respondent no.3 then the respondent no.3 shall endeavour to decide the same by means of a reasoned and speaking order within a further period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order along with representation. Till decision on the representation, no coercive step shall be taken against the

petitioner.

With the aforesaid direction, writ petition is **disposed of**.

It is made clear that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claim set forth by the petitioner.

It is further provided that in case representation is not made within the time as specified by this court, then benefit of this order shall not be available to the petitioner.

**(Abdul Moin,J.)**

**September 9, 2025**

prateek