

Court No. - 6

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 848 of 2025

Petitioner :- Jeevan Lal

Respondent :- U.O.I. Thru. Secy. Railway Board New Delhi
And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Krishna Dutt
Tripathi, Ujjwal Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- A.S.G.I.

Hon'ble Alok Mathur, J.

1. Heard Sri Arvind Kumar Tripathi, learned counsel for petitioner as well as Sri Nishant Shukla, Advocate who has filed memo of appearance on behalf of Union of India.
2. A query has been made to learned counsel for petitioner to show cause as to why the case of the could not be dealt with by the Central Administrative Tribunal considering the fact that petitioner is an employee of Railways.
3. It has been stated that on the previous occasion, the petitioner has approached Central Administrative Tribunal by filing an original application which was disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the same within it is only after decision on his representation, the petitioner has filed present writ petition.
4. Noticing the fact that petitioner has already approached Central Administrative Tribunal on the previous occasion, this Court finds no reason to entertain present writ petition considering that the petitioner has efficacious remedy before the Central Administrative Tribunal.
5. For the reasons aforesaid, the writ petition is **dismissed** on the ground of availability of alternative remedy before Central Administrative Tribunal. It is provided that in case the petitioner files an original application before the Central Administrative Tribunal within next two weeks from today, the same shall be considered and decided expeditiously.

(Alok Mathur, J.)

Order Date :- 23.1.2025

Ravi/