HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Writ Contempt No. 468/2020

1. Savitri W/o Late Mohan Lal Thanvi, Aged About 75 Years, Near Latiyal Mandir, Devji Dada Bhawan, Phalodi, District Jodhpur.
2. Ashok Kumar Thanvi S/o Mohan Lal Thanvi, Aged About 50 Years, Near Latiyal Mandir, Devji Dada Bhawan, Phalodi, District Jodhpur.
3. Om Prakash S/o Mohan Lal Thanvi, Aged About 48 Years, Near Latiyal Mandir, Devji Dada Bhawan, Phalodi, District Jodhpur.
4. Hanuman Prasad Thanvi S/o Mohan Lal, Aged About 43 Years, Near Latiyal Mandir, Devji Dada Bhawan, Phalodi, District Jodhpur.
5. Jugal Kishore Thanvi S/o Mohan Lal Thanvi, Aged About 41 Years, Near Latiyal Mandir, Devji Dada Bhawan, Phalodi, District Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, To Be Served Through Secretary, Revenue Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Inderjeet Singh, District Collector, Jodhpur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajeev Purohit Mr. J. L. Purohit
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. D.S. Pidiyar, AAAG for Mr. S. S. Ladhrecha, AAG

JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

Order

03/02/2025

1. By way of the present contempt petition, the petitioners have alleged non-compliance of the order dated 26.09.2018, whereby this Court had directed District Collector, Jodhpur to

objectively consider petitioners' representation to make allotment of land in their favour.

2. Mr. Pidiyar, learned counsel for the respondent – State submitted that formal order of allotment has been passed in petitioners' favour on 04.11.2024. While submitting that possession of the land allotted to the petitioners could not be given due to procedural hurdles, learned counsel assured that the same shall be handed over within a period of 15 days from today.

3. In view of the assurance so made, notices of contempt are hereby discharged.

4. Contempt petition stands disposed of for statistical purpose.

5. Needless to observe that in case possession of the land is not handed over to the petitioners in terms of the order of allotment by 28th February, 2025, the petitioners shall be free to apply for revival of the contempt petition.

(DINESH MEHTA),J

363-Arun/-