HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 663/2018

Ranvir Singh Saini S/o Ramji Lal Saini, By Caste Mali, Resident Of House No. 9 Partap Nagar, Gangwa Road, Hisar, Tehsil And District Hisar, Haryana.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan Through The Public Prosecutor.
2. Mani Ram S/o Sh. Sanwta Ram, By Caste Jat, Resident Of Village Chhani Badi, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 1437/2018

Ranveer Singh Saini S/o Ramji Lal Saini, By Caste Mali, Resident Of House No. 9 Pratap Nagar, Gangwa Road, Hisar, Tehsil And District Hisar, Hariyana.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan Through The Public Prosecutor.
2. Vimala Devi W/o Subhas Chandra, By Caste Jat, Resident Of Chak Bhojasar, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 1586/2018

Ranvir Singh Saini S/o Ramji Lal Saini, By Caste Mali, Resident Of House No. 9 Partap Nagar, Gangwa Road, Hisar, Tehsil And District Hisar, Hariyana.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan Through The Public Prosecutor.
2. Sahab Ram S/o Jai Prakash, By Caste Jatt, Resident Of Chak Bhojasar, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 1587/2018

Ranvir Singh Saini S/o Ramji Lal Saini, Resident Of House No. 9 Partap Nagar, Gangwa Road, Hisar, Tehsil And District Hisar, Hariyana.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan Through Public Prosecutor.
2. Gulab Singh S/o Sawat Ram, By Caste Jatt, Resident Of Chanibadi, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rahul Rajpurohit
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Singh Rajpurohit, Dy.G.A. Mr. Ravindra Singh Bhati, Asst. G.A.
For Complainant	:	Mr. Praveen Vyas

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Order

11/02/2025

1. After arguing to some extent, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the instant misc. petition but at the same time implores for issuance of certain directions to the learned trial Court so that he may be able to make a plea for discharge and the same can be considered appropriately.

2. The prayer seems to be genuine.

3. Accordingly, the misc. petitions are disposed of.

4. The petitioner would raise a plea of discharge before the learned trial Court on the grounds raised by him in the instant petition amongst others. In such event, the learned trial Court shall here it, consider his submissions coupled with the facts and circumstances of the case and then would pass a reasoned and speaking order as per the mandate contained under Sections 239 and 240 of the Cr.P.C. The order must reflect consideration of the submission made at the instance of the parties.

5. Observing that the petitioner is an octogenarian and suffering from certain ailments, the learned trial court is directed to exempt him from personal appearance, allowing him to attend the court proceedings through his pleader. Unless in unavoidable circumstances, he shall not be compelled to remain present in Court.

6. The stay petitions also stand disposed of.

(FARJAND ALI),J

6-9-Ashutosh/-