HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1171/2025

Parmanand S/o Shri Om Prakash, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ship House, Gali No. 2, Sargara Colony, Jodhpur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Local Self Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Director, Local Self Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Deputy Director, Local Self Government, Jodhpur.
4. Commissioner, Municipal Council, Jaisalmer.

----Respondents

Connected with

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1523/2025

1. Ashok Kachhwaha S/o Shri Om Prakash, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ship House, Gali No. 2, Sargara Colony, Jodhpur.
2. Rakash Panwar S/o Shri Om Prakash, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ship House, Gali No. 2, Sargara Colony, Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Local Self Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Director, Local Self Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Deputy Director, Local Self Government, Jodhpur.
4. Executive Officer, Municipal Board, Sumerpur, Pali.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Saransh Vij.

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Order(Oral)

22/01/2025

1. Petitioners herein are before this Court seeking directions to the respondents for regularizing their services against the

sanctioned post of Computer Operator/Peon, along with all consequential benefits.

2. At the outset, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the issue raised in the present writ petitions is covered by a judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in *State of Karnataka Vs. Uma Devi [(2006) 4 SCC 1]* read with order/judgment passed in *Roshan Lal Saini Vs. State & Ors.: 18056/2015*, decided on 09.03.2017. He submits that the petitioners are sanguine that, in case they are allowed to file a representation qua the grievance raised in the present writ petitions and the same is considered in light of the aforesaid judgment, they will be meted out with favorable treatment.

3. In view of the aforesaid, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitions filed by the petitioners are disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation (may file fresh, if not already filed) of the petitioners, in accordance with law, keeping in view the observations made in the case of *Uma Devi & Roshan Lal Saini* (supra), as expeditiously as possible.

4. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(ARUN MONGA),J

34 & 75 DhananjayS/jitender