



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6525/2018**

DR USHA AGGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Digvijay Rai and Mr. Archit Mishra, Advocates**

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

.....Respondent

Through: **SI Vinay Kumar.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

20.02.2026

%

CRL.M.A. 2547/2026 (Seeking Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 2431/2026 (under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 on behalf of the Petitioner seeking Corrections/Modifications of Order dated 27.11.2025)

3. An Application has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner for correction in paragraph No.22 of the Order dated 27.11.2025.
4. It is submitted that there is typographical error in paragraph No.22, wherein while directing that the original documents having the signatures of the deceased Usha Aggarwal “be” not returned, it has been written as “but” not returned.

Record perused.



5. It is evident that there is a typographical error in paragraph No.22 and the words “**but not returned**” in fifth line be read as “**be not returned**” while the original documents which do not have the signatures of the deceased/Petitioner may be returned in terms of the impugned Order.
6. The Application is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

FEBRUARY 20, 2026/va