



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 540/2018 & CM APPLs. 49280/2018, 61969/2025**

DEEPAK KAUSHIK

.....Appellant

Through: None.

Versus

VINOD KAUSHIK & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Peeyoosh Kalra, Advocate for
respondent No.1
Mr. A. Abhiraj Ray and Mr. Aamir
Abbas N., Advocates for respondent
Nos. 2(c), 2(d) and 2(e)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

ORDER

%

19.12.2025

1. The respondents are represented. However, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that the appeal has become infructuous as the suit has been disposed of by the trial court vide order dated 29.10.2025, as per which the application under Order 7 Rule 11(a) CPC was allowed and the plaint was rejected.



3. In view of the above, the appeal is disposed of as rendered infructuous alongwith pending application(s), if any.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

DECEMBER 19, 2025

p'ma