



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.L.P. 588/2017**

STATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for
the State with SI Deepa,
P.S.Seelampur.

versus

MUJAHID @ LADDU & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Harsh Prabhakar, Amicus Curiae,
Mr. Dhruv Chaudhary and Mr.
Shubham Sourav, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

23.04.2025

%

CRL.M.A. 16601/2017

1. Application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act has been filed by the Appellant for condonation of delay of 63 days in filing the Appeal.
2. For the reasons stated in the Application, the delay in filing the Appeal is condoned.
3. Application is disposed of.

CRL.L.P. 588/2017

1. Leave to Appeal under Section 378 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as "Cr.P.C."*) has been filed by the Appellant against the Impugned Judgment dated 27.04.2017 passed by learned Special Judge (NDPS)/ASJ Karkardooma Courts, Shahdara, Delhi *vide* which the Respondents/accused were acquitted



for offence under Section 394/397/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860
(*hereinafter referred to as “IPC”*).

2. Learned Prosecutor submits that the Complainant had duly identified the Respondents accused despite which they have been acquitted. Moreover, the statements of the prosecution witnesses contain only minor discrepancies and no significant contradictions.

3. For the reasons stated therein the Leave to Appeal is allowed.

Crl.Appeal No. _____/2025 (To be numbered by the Registry)

4. Arguments heard on the Appeal.

5. Judgment Reserved.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 23, 2025

rk