



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.L.P. 501/2017, CRL.M.A. 14281/2017

STATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP.

versus

RITU RAJ THAKUR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Tanveer Ahmed Mir, Senior Advocate with Mr. Chandra Shekhar Anand and Mr. Daksh Sachdeva, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

06.11.2025

CRL.M.A. 14281/2017

1. Application under Section 5 Limitation Act has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner seeking condonation of Delay of 135 days in filing the present Leave Petition.
2. For the reasons stated in the Application, the same is allowed and delay of 135 days in filing the present Leave Petition is condoned.
3. Application is disposed of.

CRL.L.P. 501/2017

4. Petition under Section 378(3) Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner against Order of Acquittal dated 10.02.2017 passed by learned ASJ-04, Karkardooma Courts in FIR No.36/2011, under Sections 498A/306 IPC, registered at PS: Pandav Nagar.
5. For the reasons stated therein, the same is allowed and be converted into Criminal Appeal.



CRL.A. ____/2025 (to be numbered)

6. Submissions heard.
7. Judgment reserved.

NOVEMBER 6, 2025/R

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.