



\$~30

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CRL.L.P. 830/2018

VINITA BANSAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sangeeta Jain, Adv.

versus

POONAM SAXENA

.....Respondent

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

06.05.2025

1. None has appeared on behalf of the respondent despite publication.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent has been acquitted solely for the reason that the loan advanced to the respondent was not reflected in the Income Tax returns.
3. She submits that once the accused has admitted his signatures, the onus is not on the complainant to show the source of money given to the accused.
4. The matter requires consideration.
5. Leave to appeal is granted.
6. The matter be numbered as CRL.A.....(*to be numbered*)
CRL.A.....(*to be numbered*)
7. None appears for the respondent.
8. Issue bailable warrants against the respondent for a sum of ₹5,000/- to be executed through the concerned SHO.
9. List on 18.08.2025.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

MAY 6, 2025

"SS"