



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 247/2015**

ANIL BHATIA

.....Appellant

Through: **Mr. R. Krishnaamorthi and Mr.
Jai Vardhan, Advs.**

versus

N B C C LTD & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. Ankita Bhadouria, Mr.
Tushar Sannu and Mr. Pushkar
Dwivedi, Advs. for R-1**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER

%

23.05.2025

1. The hearing is being conducted through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 29995/2025 (Exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

3. The application stands disposed of.

**REVIEW PET. 308/2025 (FOR REVIEW OF ORDER DT.
24.04.2025)**

4. This application has been moved on behalf of the applicant/appellant seeking review of the order dated 24.04.2025.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents is present on advance notice.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the applicant/appellant, this Court finds that there is no error apparent on the face of the record. The same arguments are being reiterated by the learned counsel for the applicant/appellant, which were addressed earlier. The plea that the right of the appellant to claim his outstanding dues is a



continuing right is not sustainable.

7. The cited case in the present application, i.e. **Samruddhi Co-operative Housing Society v. Mumbai Mahalaxmi Construction Pvt. Ltd. [2022 SCC OnLine SC 35]** is clearly distinguishable and not applicable to the facts of the present matter.

8. The present review petition is dismissed.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

MAY 23, 2025/sp/sa