



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6758/2017**

SHELLEY GROVER AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sameer Rohatgi and Mr. Kartikey
Singh, Advocates.

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Yoginder Handoo, Additional
Standing Counsel with Mr. Ashwin Kataria,
Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER
19.02.2025

%

1. Mr. Sameer Rohatgi, learned counsel for the Petitioners fairly and candidly submits that at the time when this writ petition was filed, the license in respect of Shop No.29, Main Market, Lodhi Road, was subsisting and valid and therefore writ of mandamus was sought to NDMC to release the approved sanction plan for additional construction of the said premises in light of permission granted by Delhi Urban Arts Commission and “no objection” by Delhi Fire Service, Government of NCT of Delhi, however, in the interregnum, since the license has expired, Petitioners do not press the present writ petition at this stage. Liberty be granted to the Petitioners to take recourse to appropriate legal remedies after NDMC takes a policy decision with respect to the licenses of the shops in Lodhi Market including



the shop in question in the present writ petition, in case of any grievance.

2. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the Petitioners as sought, in accordance with law.

JYOTI SINGH, J

FEBRUARY 19, 2025/YA