



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.L.P. 428/2017**
STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Utkarsh, Ld. APP for the State
with SI Sonu Kumar P.S. OIA.

versus

GIRISH KUMAR & ANR

.....Respondents
Through: Mr. Harshit Vashisht Amicus Curiae
with Mr. Avinash Kapoor, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER
21.04.2025

%
CRL.M.A. 12195/2017 *(under Section 5 of the Limitation Act on behalf of the Applicant/Appellant seeking Condonation of Delay in filing Leave to Appeal)*

1. An Application has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner seeking Condonation of Delay of 194 days in filing the Leave to Appeal Petition.
2. For the reasons stated in the Application, the delay is condoned.
3. The Application is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

CRL.L.P. 428/2017

4. A Criminal Leave Petition under Section 378(3) Cr.P.C has been filed on behalf of the Applicant/ Appellant.
5. It is submitted that there are four eye witnesses who have



corroborated the case of the Prosecution about the Complainant having been beaten by the Respondent despite which the benefit has been given to the Respondent.

6. For the grounds stated in the Petition, the Leave to Appeal is allowed.

Crl.APP.No. _____/2025 (To be numbered by the Registry)

7. Submissions heard.

8. Reserved for Judgment.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 21, 2025/va