



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.L.P. 392/2017**

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ravi Sharma, SPP with
Mr. Premtosh K. Mishra, Mr. Swapnil
Choudhary, Mr. Ishann Bhadwaj,
Mr. Shivam Mishra and
Ms. Madhulika Rai Sharma,
Advocates

Versus

RAMESH NARANG & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Suyash Sinha, Mr. Abimanyu
Singh and Ms. Rachna Kataria,
Advocates for R-7 & 8

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **16.05.2025**

CRL.M.A. 11275/2017

1. Application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of CBI seeking condonation of delay of 471 days.
2. It is submitted that because of the technical procedures, the file had to be approved at various levels and consequently, delay of 471 days occurred. It is a case pertaining to custodial death whereby the Respondents/Police Officials have been acquitted.
3. Considering the reasons stated in the Application, the delay of 471 days in filing the present Appeal is condoned.



4. The Application is disposed of.

CRL.L.P. 392/2017

5. Criminal Leave Petition has been filed under Section 378(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 in the CBI case wherein it is submitted that there was an FIR No. 473/1992 registered against the Victim under Section 186/353 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 on the allegations that the Applicant had obstructed the Police in discharge of their duty. However, the Applicant and the family members were detained by the Police under custody. Sh. Jaswant Singh died in custody. Inquest was carried out by the SDM.

6. On the Petition filed by the Complainant before the High Court, the FIR was directed to be registered against the Police Officials. The FIR was got registered. Two cases were tried simultaneously in the FIR No 473/1992. The learned Trial Court found that the Applicant and the others were not guilty and were acquitted. At the same time, certain observations were made that they having been subjected to custodial torture. Despite this, in the present case, which was tried alongside, the Respondents have been acquitted.

7. Considering the grounds taken, the leave to Appeal is allowed.

8. The Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

CRL.A. _____ (TO BE NUMBERED)

9. Be listed for arguments on 16.09.2025.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MAY 16, 2025

N