



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 9874/2018

DHARMENDRA NAVNITLAL PARIKH

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

THE BAR COUNCIL OF INDIA AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Preet Pal Singh, Ms. Tanupreet Kaur and Ms. Medha Navami, Advocates for BCI
Ms. Sumita Ray, Ms. Disha Ray and Mr. Kunal Narwal, Advocates for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

%

22.09.2025

1. None appears for the petitioner when the matter is called out.
2. This Court notes that none had appeared for the petitioner even on the last date of hearing, i.e., 26th May, 2025.
3. When the matter was listed for hearing on 22nd May, 2025, this Court had passed the following order:

“1. Attention of this Court has been drawn to the order dated 21st July, 2023, wherein, the petitioners had been directed to take instructions as to whether they would like to prosecute the present petition or not.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in W.P.(C) 9874/2024, submits that he may be granted some time to seek instructions.

3. Accordingly, at request re-notify on 26th May, 2025.”



4. Perusal of the aforesaid order shows that counsel for the petitioner had sought time to take instructions whether the petitioner intends to prosecute the present petition or not.

5. However, none has appeared for the petitioner today, as well as, on the last date of hearing. Clearly, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present matter.

6. Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed in default and for non-prosecution.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

SEPTEMBER 22, 2025

ak