



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12315/2018 & CM APPL. 47731/2018**

HARI PRAKASH

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain, ASC with Ms. Priya Shukla, Mr. Aveeraj Sharma and Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocates Advocate for R-1 to 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

15.07.2025

1. The petitioner has not entered appearance in this writ petition since 26.02.2020.
2. By order dated 21.04.2025, Court notice was issued to him as well as his counsel. It was made clear that if the petitioner was unrepresented despite notice, it would be presumed that he was not interested in prosecuting this petition further.
3. By order dated 21.05.2025, it was noted that Court notice had returned unserved *qua* learned counsel for the petitioner, but service upon the petitioner was awaited.
4. The Registry now reports that service of Court notice upon the petitioner could also not be effected as the address was incomplete.
5. In these circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed for non-



prosecution.

6. It is placed on record that, according to the instructions received by learned counsel for the respondents, the amounts claimed by the petitioner, in this writ petition, have been paid.

7. All pending applications are also dismissed.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JULY 15, 2025

SS/AD/