



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LA.APP. 180/2018, CM APPL. 37089/2018 and
CM APPL. 37091/2018

PARDEEP KUMAR JINDAL & ANRAppellants

Through: Mr.O.P. Pahuja, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Standing
Counsel with Ms.K.K. Kiran and Mr.M.S. Akhtar,
Advocates for respondent No.1

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
28.03.2025

%

1. After some arguments, learned counsel for the appellant prays that the matter be remanded back as it is required to lead evidence on the mutation entry for which revenue records will be required to be summoned.
2. Learned Standing Counsel for respondent No.1 submits that the respondent is a proforma respondent.
3. A perusal of the previous proceedings would show that despite the notice being served through publication, respondent Nos.2 to 16 have chosen not to appear. As noted in order dated 06.03.2025, service qua the respondents is complete.
4. In view of the above, the matter is remanded back to the concerned Court for deciding the same afresh.



5. The matter be listed before the concerned Court at the first instance for directions on 28.04.2025.
6. The present petition is disposed of alongwith the pending applications in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MARCH 28, 2025
na