



\$~109

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1034/2016**
SUSHIL KUMAR GUPTAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Suryakant Singla, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Shanto Mukerjee, Mr. Ashok
Chapparia, Advocates with petitioner
in person.

versus

VEER PAL SINGHRespondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari with Mr. Arjit
Sharma and Mr. Nikunj Bindal,
Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
13.01.2025

%

1. After hearing arguments for some time, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that since the petitioner had got back the possession way back in May, 2017, *albeit*, through police assistance, he would not press the present contempt petition provided some compensation was paid to the petitioner for delayed handing over of the vacant and peaceful possession of the property in question. According to him, the petitioner got the possession through execution and part of the property had also been demolished by the respondent.
2. The above said aspect has been disputed by learned counsel for the respondent.
3. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that without getting into the above said controversy, since the respondent has now



paid a sum of Rs.50,000/- to the petitioner as compensation, the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing with the present contempt petition.

4. The present petition is disposed of as not pressed in view of aforesaid.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JANUARY 13, 2025
st