



\$~34

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.L.P. 488/2016**

**SANJAY GOSWAMI**

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

**A V ENTERPRISES & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: None.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA**

**ORDER**

% **08.07.2025**

1. On 6<sup>th</sup> February, 2025, the following order was passed:

*“1. There was no appearance on behalf of parties on 16<sup>th</sup> January, 2024, 04<sup>th</sup> April, 2024, 27<sup>th</sup> July, 2024 and 08<sup>th</sup> October, 2024. Appearance of the Petitioner is noted on 29<sup>th</sup> October, 2024 however, on the said date, since the Judge was on leave, the appearance noted is inconclusive. There is no appearance on behalf of the parties today.*

*2. In the interest of justice, no adverse orders are being passed today.*

*3. Re-notify on 08<sup>th</sup> July, 2025.”*

2. Today again despite repeated calls, there is no appearance on behalf of either of the party.

3. It is thus apparent that the Petitioner has lost interest in the present matter and accordingly, the present petition is dismissed in default for non-prosecution.

**SANJEEV NARULA, J**

**JULY 8, 2025/as**