



\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8063/2018**

SHREE RAM AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

GOVT. OF N.C.T. OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Sanjay K. Pathak, Standing
Counsel with Ms K.K. Kiran Pathak,
Mr M.S. Akhtar, Mr Sunil K. Jha,
Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

03.03.2025

%

1. None appears for the petitioners.
2. It is noticed that none has appeared for the petitioners for the past several hearings.
3. A plain reading of the petition also indicates that there is no averment that the possession of the subject land has not been taken over. The above-captioned petition was filed, *inter alia*, praying that the acquisition in respect of the land falling in Khasra Nos.7/20 (0-19), 7/21 (4-08), 10/1 (4-16) full share and ¼ share of 9/14 (3-17), 9/15 (4-16), 10/11 (4-16), 10/12 (4-16) in the revenue estate of village Badarpur had lapsed by virtue of Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency of Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013. Although, it is pleaded that compensation for the subject land was not paid but there is no averment to establish that the condition regarding possession not being taken over is satisfied.



4. The petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TEJAS KARIA, J

MARCH 03, 2025

RK

Click here to check corrigendum, if any