



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 1266/2012**

SASHI TULI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.Sunil Kumar, Advocate

versus

SEEMA SHARMA

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.09.2025

%

1. The present appeal has been filed under Section 378(4) CrPC by the appellant/complainant against the judgment dated 15.10.2009, passed by Learned MM, Rohini Courts in the case bearing CC No.1860/08 vide which the Respondent was acquitted of the offence under Section 138 NI Act.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the present appeal.

2. Leave, as prayed for, is granted. The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025

na



\$~64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 1267/2012 and CRL.M.A. 3854/2013

ANIL TULI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.Sunil Kumar, Advocate

versus

SEEMA SHARMA

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.09.2025

%

1. 1. The present appeal has been filed under Section 378(4) CrPC by the appellant/complainant against the judgment dated 15.10.2009, passed by Learned MM, Rohini Courts in the case bearing CC No.3544/08 vide which the Respondent was acquitted of the offence under Section 138 NI Act.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the present appeal.

3. Leave, as prayed for, is granted. The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025

na



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 297/2024

MUZAZ @ AZAD

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.R.K. Singh, Advocate

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS. & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahalot, APP for State
Ms.Gayatri Nandwani, Ms.Mudita and Mr.Adrian
Abbi, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **23.09.2025**

CRL.M.(BAIL) 1840/2025 (interim suspension of sentence)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the surgery of the appellant's child has now been fixed for 24.11.2025.
2. Learned APP for the State seeks some more time to file the verification report.
3. List on 28.10.2025.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025

na



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 450/2023 and CRL.M.(BAIL) 795/2023

KAMAL KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.Jaideep Malik, Advocate

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms.Shubhi Gupta, APP for State with
SI Surnder Singh

Mr.Sharique Hussain and Ms.Kriti Garg,
Advocates for respondent No.2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.09.2025

%

1. Learned counsels for the parties pray that the present matter be referred to the mediation centre.
2. Accordingly, list the present matter before the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre on 09.10.2025.
3. List before the Court on 08.12.2025.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025

na



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 422/2020**

MD. SUMAN

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.Anwesh Madhukar, Ms.Prachi

Nirwan and Mr.Gaurav, Advocates

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahalot, APP for State with

SI Ashutosh Mishra

Ms. Nidhi Mittal, Advocate for victim

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

23.09.2025

CRL.M.(BAIL) 338/2024 (suspension of sentence)

1. Ms. Nidhi Mittal, Advocate appears and submits that Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Sr. Advocate (Amicus Curiae) appointed to represent the victim, in the present matter, is not available at the moment.
2. List on 13.10.2025.
3. In the meantime, a report be also requisitioned from the Member Secretary, Delhi State Legal Services Authority, Rouse Avenue Courts Complex, New Delhi on the aspect of disbursal of compensation and receipt thereof by the victim. The IO is directed to facilitate the victim in approaching the Member Secretary, DSLSA and ensure that subject to completion of formalities, the awarded amount be released to the victim at the earliest, if not already done.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025/na



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 358/2024

RAVI KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.Hitain Bajaj, Advocate

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Ms.Shubhi Gupta, APP for State with
ASI Om Prakash

Mr.Kumar Shailabh, Advocate for victim

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.09.2025

%

1. The appellant's application for suspension of sentence came to be dismissed on 04.02.2025, whereafter the appeal was directed to be listed in due course.
2. Considering that the appeal is of the year 2024, list the present appeal in due course.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025

na



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 1332/2019

SH. JALAUDDIN @ PAPPU

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Kanhaiya Singhal, Advocate
(DHCLSC) with Mr. Ajay, Advocate

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahalot, APP for State
Mr.Sarthak Karol, Ms.Neelakshi Bhadauria and
Ms.Tanishka Panwar, Advocates for victim

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.09.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for the victim has stated that the name of the child victim has appeared multiple times in the pleadings.
2. Considering the import of Section 228A of IPC, the Registry shall ensure that the name of the child victim is redacted from the entire records of the appeal.
3. Arguments heard.
4. Judgment reserved.
5. It is stated that the entire compensation amount has been received by the victim and Rs.10,000/- out of the fine amount is also payable to the victim.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025

na



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 1081/2023

MERAJ

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.Amit Gupta, Mr.Kshitij Vaibhav and
Mr.Muskan Nagpal, Advocates

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahalot, APP for State with
SI Anshu Kumari
Ms.Gayatri Nandwani, Ms.Mudita Sharda and
Mr.Adrian Abbi, Advocates for victim

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

23.09.2025

CRL.M.(BAIL) 1830/2023 (suspension of sentence)

1. The present application has been filed seeking suspension of sentence during the pendency of the appeal.
2. By way of the appeal, the appellant seeks to assail the judgment of conviction dated 09.06.2023 vide which the appellant was convicted under Section 376 IPC and Section 4 POCSO Act.

Vide order on sentence dated 29.08.2023, the appellant was directed to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 10 years for the offence punishable under Section 376(2) IPC, alongwith payment of fine of Rs.10,000/-, in default whereof he was directed to further undergo simple imprisonment for a period of three months. The benefit of Section 428



Cr.P.C. has also been provided to the appellant.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant contends that not only in the testimony but also in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. the prosecutrix has stated that she was willing to marry the appellant. He further submits that the appellant has undergone about 3 years out of the total sentence of 10 years imposed upon him.

4. Learned APP for the State, duly assisted by learned counsel for the victim, has opposed the present application. It is stated that the child victim was 15 years of age at the relevant time and her consent with respect to the commission of the offence is immaterial. The testimony of the child victim would show that she has stated that the appellant established physical relations with her despite her protest. Learned APP has also referred to the MLC as well as the FSL report to submit that the same supports the case of prosecution.

5. In view of the aforesaid and looking into the nominal roll, I find no ground to entertain the present application. Accordingly, the present application is dismissed at this stage.

CRL.A. 1081/2023

List in due course.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025

na