



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA 821/2010 & CM APPL. 21517/2010**

MCS LTD & ANR

.....Appellants

Through: **Mr. Deepak Jaiswal and Ms. Harshita Jain, Advocates**

versus

RATNABALI CAPITALS PVT LTD

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Niloy Dasgupta, Advocate**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SHARMA

ORDER

%

30.01.2025

Learned counsel for the appellant no. 2, on instructions, states that the appellant no. 2 does not want to pursue the matter.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in any case, the appeal has become infructuous as the decree has already been executed by the appellants.

The perusal of the appeal filed indicates that the appellant no. 1 was the Registrar appointed by the appellant no. 2 to issue for its Initial Public Offer. The main contesting party is the appellant no. 2 and since the appellant no. 2 does not want to pursue the matter, there is no purpose of continuing with the matter.

Accordingly, the present appeal along with pending applications, stands dismissed as withdrawn being infructuous.

DINESH KUMAR SHARMA, J

JANUARY 30, 2025/N/KR