



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 3400/2018
SURESH DUDEJA & ORS.Petitioners
Through: Mr.Siddharth Soni, Advocate

Versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr.Shoaib Haider, Additional
Public Prosecutor for Respondent-
No.1-State with SI Manish Kumar
Mr.Shiv Chopra, Mr.Shravan
Pandey, Ms.Surbhi Arora,
Mr.Siddharth Arora &
Mr.Dhananjay Pathak, Advocates
for Respondent No.2

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA
ORDER
% **17.03.2025**

1. The present Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.699/2015, under Sections 498A/406/506/34 IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, registered at Police Station South Rohini, New Delhi.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners has submitted that the matter has been amicably settled before the Delhi Government Mediation & Conciliation Centre, Delhi Disputes Resolution Society (Regd.) in terms noted in the Order dated 05.02.2018, whereby Petitioner-husband had agreed to pay a sum of Rs.7,00,000/- toward full and final settlement of marital dispute to Respondent No.2-wife and the parties had agreed that any complaint/ case pending before any authority, shall also stand settled



and also that she shall cooperate in quashing of the FIR in question.

3. On the basis of amicable settlement reached between the parties, marriage between Petitioner No.1- husband and Respondent No.2- wife was dissolved and Decree of Divorce was granted by the learned Family Court, North-West Rohini *vide* Order dated 28.04.2018.

4. It is submitted on behalf of the Petitioners that out of the settled amount of Rs.7,00,000/-; amount of Rs.5,00,000/- stands already paid to Respondent No.2 and for the balance amount of Rs.2,00,000/-, Petitioner has been bringing the Demand Draft to the Court but Respondent No.2 is not forthcoming to accept the amount and give her statement and honour the settlement.

5. Learned Counsel for Petitioners submits that pursuant to order dated 06.03.2025, demand draft in the sum of Rs.2,00,000/- has been deposited with the Registrar General of this Court and he had conveyed this to Respondent No. 2 but she has failed to appear before this Court today.

6. Considering the fact that the parties have amicably settled their disputes Delhi Government Mediation & Conciliation Centre *vide* Settlement dated 05.02.2018 and decree of divorce has been granted by the learned Family Court *vide* Order dated 28.04.2018, no fruitful purpose would be served in continuing with the proceedings arising out of FIR in question.

7. Accordingly, FIR No.699/2015, under Sections 498A/406/506/34 IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, registered at Police Station South Rohini, New Delhi and consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed.



8. It is made clear that the settlement is *inter se* the Petitioner and Respondent No.2 and is without prejudice to the rights of the child.
9. The present Petition is accordingly disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MARCH 17, 2025

r