



\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3219/2010**

K.BALASARASWATHI

.....Petitioner

Through:

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Pardeep Gahlot, APP with SI
Kishor Prasad, PS V.K. North
Mr. SP Kaushal, Mr. Aryan Sharma
and Mr. Atul Kumar, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

11.03.2025

%

1. None appears on behalf of the petitioner.
2. Even on the last date of hearing, the petitioner in person was not present in Court.
3. For the said reason, the petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. Even on merits, as per the order dated 06.10.2010, notice was issued in the present petition only on the limited ground to challenge the order dated 04.08.2010. Para 13 & 14 of the order dated 06.10.2010 read as under:

“13 It was put to the complainant that an inquiry/investigation in her own interest. However, she feels that in view of the case law, she is entitled to question and challenge the order dated 4th August, 2010.

14. Issue notice limited to challenge to the order dated 4th



August, 2010 returnable on 20th December. 2010. Initially notice will be issued to the respondent Nos. 1 and 3.”

5. The FIR was registered and after completion of investigation, a closure report has been filed against the petitioner i.e. Ms. K. Balasaraswathi. I am informed that till date, no protest petition has been filed by any of the aggrieved parties.

6. For the said reasons, even on merits, the present petition has become infructuous.

JASMEET SINGH, J

MARCH 11, 2025/PU

Click here to check corrigendum, if any