



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.A. 1147/2011**
NARGISAppellant

Through: Mr. Nikhilesh Gautam, Ms. Mansi,
Ms. Vandana Sharma and Mr. Ms.
Munazza, Advocates along with
Appellant in person.

versus

STATE & ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP with Mr.
Naveen, Mr. Arsalan Naik, Mr. Suil
Singh Rawat, Mr. Vishal Jha, Mr.
Siddharth Goyal and Mr. Vineet A.,
Advocates for State.
SI Rajnandini, P.S. Hauz Qazi.
Mr. Sushil Kumar Chauhan and Mr.
Pradeep Sharma, Advocates for R-2
along with R-2 in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER
10.12.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present criminal appeal has been filed by the Appellant under Section 372 of Criminal Code of Procedure against the impugned judgment dated 11th July, 2011 passed by the trial court, whereby the Respondent No. 2 – Harun Rashid had been acquitted from the charges framed against him for the offences punishable under Sections 376/420 of Indian Penal Code.
3. Ld. Counsel for the Appellant, on instructions from the Appellant,



submits that the Appellant does not wish to pursue with the present appeal as she is married now and well-settled in her matrimonial home.

4. The statement of the appellant is taken on record. Accordingly, keeping in view the statement of the Appellant, the present appeal is disposed of as withdrawn.

5. Bail bond of Respondent No. 2 - Harun Rashid, if any, stands cancelled and surety discharged.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

DECEMBER 10, 2025/MR/isk